PROCEED II END-LINE SURVEY
Q & A

Question 1: As per the TOR there are 12 total districts for deriving the required sample of 2500 HHs. Does this sample also include the control group?
Answer: Yes sure 2500 HHs of Control and treatment in the midline assessment only did in 8 treatments. But for the end-line survey is 12 treatments, the sample will be increased to be scientifically reasonable.

Question 2: What is the expected number of districts and total sample from the Control districts?
Answer: At this point, we need advice from consultant What is the scientifically reasonable.

Question 3: Is the control group to be selected out of the same 15 districts listed under ToR?
Answer: Yes sure, to be selected more, my personal view.

Question 4: Who will be the respondents for HHs survey and what is the expected duration of individual interview?
Answer: General citizen and citizen leaders with randomly select.

Question 5: Based on the description in the RFP, we assume that the previouse design (e.g. baseline/midline) used treatment/intervention and control/comparison group, is that correct?
Answer: Yes, you are correct.

Question 6: If the above is correct, what was the level of randomization/comparison - was it at district or commune or village level or at individual level?
Answer: Previously, we recruit base on advised by firm. Indochina advice to select commune and then down to village and individual level

Question 7: Depending on the nature of the project intervention, based on our experience in RCT, some projects collect the data from the same respondents at baseline, midline and end-line, while some projects don't. For this project, was Pact's intervention at district/commune/village or individual level? Basically, do you need us to collect the data from same respondents from baseline/midline or randomly draw new sample?

Answer: Base on experiences base and midline, we don't focus on individual respondents but focus on commune level.

Question 8: We understood that the retrospective method will be used. Can you tell us how long the recall back period was - was it since 2013 or 2016? Knowing this we can estimate

better for the lenght of questionnaires, and we can also provide some input on the issue of response biases due to recall back period.

Answer: The recall back period is 2016